IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JOSE TORRES	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:17cv314
BRYAN COLLIER, ET AL.	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Jose Torres, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Torres was ordered to pay the statutory filing fee of \$400.00 or to submit an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* which was accompanied by a certified inmate trust account data sheet from an authorized official of the prison, as required by 28 U.S.C. §1915(b). A copy of this order was sent to Torres but was returned with the notation that Torres had been discharged from prison. To date, Torres has not contacted the Court nor provided notice of his current address.

On December 27, 2017, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. A copy of this Report was sent to Torres at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted

by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th

Cir. 1996) (en banc).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge.

Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is

"clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 8) is **ADOPTED** as the

opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE

for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby

DENIED.

So Ordered and Signed

Jan 24, 2018

Ron Clark, United States District Judge

Rm Clark

2